A Study of the Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Effects of Ethanolic Extract of Fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Mill) Seeds

S. Mahdavi(PhD)*1, M. Alizad(MSc)², P. Sajjadi(PhD)³, M. Baleghi(MSc)⁴

1. Young Researchers and Elite Club, Maragheh Branch, Islamic Azad University, Maragheh, I.R.Iran

2. Department of Food Industries, Maragheh Branch, Islamic Azad University, Maragheh, I.R.Iran

Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Health Research Institute, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, I.R.Iran
 Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, I.R.Iran

J Babol Univ Med Sci; 19(5); May 2017; PP: 32-38 Received: Jun 28th 2016, Revised: Sep 27th 2016, Accepted: Feb 22th 2017.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVE: Synthetic additives are used in food industry to prevent contamination of food and reduction of microbial growth, while special attention have been paid to the use of natural anti-bacterial products because of higher food safety. Since plants are potential sources of anti-infective agents, the present study was conducted to study the antioxidant and antimicrobial effects of ethanolic extract of fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Mill) seeds.

METHODS: In this experimental study, the ethanolic extract was prepared after obtaining the fennel seeds. To analyze the antimicrobial effect of the extract, the Micro dilution method was used based on 9 concentrations of 100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25, 3.12, 1.56, 0.78 and 0.39% on food isolates (kept in microbial bank) and standard strains of *Escherichia coli* 1270 PTCC, *Salmonella enterica* 1709PTCC, *Bacillus cereus* 11778ATCC and *Staphylococcus aureus* 1112PTCC. To change the antioxidant effect of the ethanolic extract of fennel, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) method was used in 7 concentrations of 1000, 500, 400, 300, 200, 100 and 50 ppm.

FINDINGS: *Salmonella enterica* food isolates showed highest level of resistance (2.3 of isolates grew at concentrations above 12.5%) while *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates showed highest level of sensitivity (without growth at concentrations above 12.5%) against ethanolic extract of fennel (p>0.05). In all the examined concentrations, the antioxidant effect of the ethanolic extract of fennel seed was reported to be less than synthetic antioxidants BHA (Bytalyted Hydroxy Toluene) (p<0.05).

CONCLUSION: According to the results of this study, the ethanolic extract of fennel seed benefits from appropriate antibacterial and antioxidant properties and thus can be used in combination with other preservatives to preserve food against various oxidative systems and microorganisms that cause infection and intoxication.

KEY WORDS: Fennel, Ethanolic extract, Antimicrobial, Antioxidant.

Please cite this article as follows:

Mahdavi S, Alizad M, Sajjadi P, Baleghi M. A Study of the Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Effects of Ethanolic Extract of Fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Mill) Seeds. J Babol Univ Med Sci. 2017;19(5):32-38.

Introduction

Nowadays, food corruption and poisoning caused by microorganisms is still the most important challenge in food industry and for the consumers of these products, even in developed countries (1). To prevent food contamination in food industry, synthetic additives are used to reduce microbial growth and inhibit microorganisms (2).

Because of consumers' sensitivity to the safety of food products that contain synthetic chemicals, more attention have been paid to the use of natural antibacterial products for preserving food in recent years (3). Considering the drug resistance and side effects of the chemical antibacterial drugs, the approaches of scientific researches have inclined to natural resources in recent decades. Several studies have proved the antimicrobial effects of various plants (4).

Overall, it is clear that the growth of microorganisms that cause food corruption and foodborne pathogens reduce the quality of food by reducing the quality of nutrients in food such as fat, protein and carbohydrates, which leads to color change, mildew, biochemical changes, weight loss and toxicity. These changes are dangerous for consumers (2).

Essences and extracts of medicinal plants are suitable for being used as preservatives for raw and processed food because of their antimicrobial, anticancer and antioxidant compounds as well as agents that scavenge free radicals (5).

Fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Mill) is a gramineous and aromatic plant from Umbelliferae family, which looks like Dill with yellow flowers and has oral and therapeutic use (6). This plant has two subspecies; the vulgare subspecies has sweet seeds and is used as flavor in cooked foods such as meat, fish, ice cream, etc. (7). The seed of this plant has anti-flatulence properties, improves eyesight and increases milk in breastfeeding women (8).

Fennel seed has traditionally been used as antiinflammatory, analgesic, diuretic and antispasmodic plant (9). The methanolic extract of fennel seed has antiinflammatory and inhibitory effects of delayed hypersensitivity reactions (10). Fennel seed extracts are used for heart diseases (11).

The essence of fennel seeds has shown antibacterial effects against foodborne pathogens such as

Escherichia coli, Bacillus megatrium, Staphylococcus aureus (12) and *Listeria monocytogenes* (13). Several studies have been conducted about the antimicrobial effect of the essence and extract of fennel. In a study in Turkey, the most significant antimicrobial effect of the essence of fennel was observed to be on *Staphylococcus aureus* (14).

On the other hand, little antimicrobial activity was reported for the essence of fennel in other studies (15, 16). In a study conducted on some plants, the antibacterial effect of fennel extract was observed on *Bacillus megatrium* (3). In a study in Kerman, the effect of methanolic extract on *Bacillus megatrium* and *Staphylococcus aureus* was reported (17).

Synthetic antioxidants such as Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) are not advised to be used in foods because of potential risk of carcinogenesis and concerns regarding food safety (9). In a study, the acetonic extract and essence of fennel showed strong antioxidant activity compared with Butylated hydroxytoluene (18).

The aqueous and ethanolic extract of fennel seed also showed antioxidant activities in other researches. 100 μ g ethanolic extract of fennel inhibited 77.5% peroxidation from linoleic acid system and this effect was more than the same amount of alpha-tocopherol (36.1%) (19). This study aims to analyze the antioxidant and antibacterial effects of ethanolic extract of fennel seed.

Methods

Sampling and extraction: In this experimental study, fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Mill) seeds were obtained from Medicinal Plant Centers in Maragheh (April 2015). Maceration method and ethanol solvent were used for seed extraction. For this purpose, 300 g dried seeds of fennel plant were poured into 7.5 liters ethanol (at 85 °C) in three turns and they were kept at lab temperature for 48 hours each time. The solution was filtered with filter paper and then the filtered extracts were evaporated in a rotary device.

Preparing the isolates and bacterial strains: 3 isolates of *Escherichia coli* (isolated from local cheese), *Salmonella enteric* (isolated from red meat), *Bacillus cereus* (isolated from rice) and *Staphylococcus aureus*

(isolated from local cheese), which were previously identified and kept in the Microbial Bank of Microbiology Laboratory in Azad University of Tabriz, were used to analyze the antimicrobial effect of the ethanolic extract of fennel seed. The standard strains of *Escherichia coli* PTCC 1270, *Salmonella enteric* PTCC 1709, *Bacillus cereus* ATCC 11778 and *Staphylococcus aureus* PTCC 1112 were used as control.

Measuring the antimicrobial activity of the ethanolic extract using Microdilution method: Microdilution method, that is the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC), was used to analyze the antimicrobial effect of the ethanolic extract of fennel seed. 100 µl brain-heart infusion medium (BHI) was poured into one row of microplate wells (except for the first well) and then, 100 µl ethanolic extract was poured into the 1st and 2nd well and 100 µl of second well contents was poured into the 3rd well and this action continued until the 9th well and finally, 100 µl of the 9th well content were poured away. Therefore, 9 concentrations of 100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25, 3.12, 1.56, 0.78 and 0.39% ethanol extract of fennel seed were found in the 1st to the 9th well. 0.5 McFarland standard was prepared from the new bacterial culture and 100 µl of 1.100 dilution was added to all wells except for the 11th and 12th wells.

In the next step, 30 μ l resazurin reagent (blue or purple) was added to all wells. The 10th well was used as bacteria control, the 11th well was used as culture medium control and the 12th well was used as extract control.

Then, one well with intermediate color change (considered as the minimum inhibitory concentration [MIC]) along with 2 wells before and 2 wells after that well were cultured in Brain Heart Infusion Agar and were incubated after 24 hours at 37 °C. Each plate with wells in which no bacterial growth occurred is considered as the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) (20).

Measuring the antioxidant activity (free radical scavenging activity) using DPPH method: Measuring the antioxidant activity by deactivating the free radicals was done using 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) material and decolorizing the purple color of this material (21, 22). First, 500 μ l of methanolic solution of DPPH was prepared. Then, various concentrations of BHT were prepared as reference antioxidant and 4 ml

of each concentration were transferred to foiled test tubes and were mixed with 1 ml DPPH. Finally, 30 minutes after solution absorbance at a wavelength of 517 nm, it was measured using spectrophotometer (23). The test was done for the synthetic antioxidant of Butylated hydroxytoluene and 7 concentrations of extract were prepared (concentrations of 1000, 500, 400, 300, 200, 100 and 50 ppm) and free radical scavenging activity (RSA%) was calculated according to the following formula:

$RSA\% = (A_c - A_s)/A_c \times 100$

Results

In 12.5% and higher concentrations of the ethanolic extract of fennel, only one isolate of the three *Salmonella enteric* isolates was not able to grow (two isolates grew), whereas none of the studied bacteria isolates were able to grow at concentrations higher than 12.5%, indicating higher resistance of *Salmonella enteric* isolates compared with other tested isolates. *Salmonella enteric* isolates in food revealed the highest significant level of resistance to the ethanolic extract of fennel compared with other studied bacteria (p>0.05). Furthermore, *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in food revealed the highest significant level of susceptibility against the ethanolic extract of fennel compared with other studied bacteria (p>0.05).

Escherichia coli and *Bacillus cereus* bacteria isolates revealed similar level of susceptibility against the ethanolic extract of fennel. The standard strains of *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella enteric*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus cereus* revealed highest to lowest level of resistance to the ethanolic extract of fennel, respectively.

The standard strain of *Escherichia coli* revealed more resistance to the ethanolic extract of fennel compared to *Escherichia coli* isolates in foods. The standard strain of *Bacillus cereus* revealed more susceptibility to the ethanolic extract of fennel compared to *Bacillus cereus* isolates in foods (table 1). A comparison between the antixodiant properties of ethanolic extract of fennel with BHT demonstrated that in identical concentrations, the antioxidant effect of BHT is much stronger than ethanolic extract of fennel (p<0.05). As the concentration of the ethanolic extract of fennel increased, its antioxidant effect also increased (table 2).

 Table 1. The antimicrobial effect of the ethanolic

 extract of fennel on the studied bacteria

Extract (%)	50<	25	12.5	6.25	3.12	156
Bactria	<u> </u>	23	12.0	0.23	3.12	1.502
<i>E. coli</i> PTCC 1270	+	+	+	+	+	+
E. coli	-3	-3	-1	+3	+3	+3
S. enteric PTCC 1709	-	-	+	+	+	+
S. enteric	-1	-1	-1	+3	+3	+3
S. aureus PTCC 1112	-	-	-	-	-	+
S. aureus	3-	3-	-2	-2	-1	+3
B. cereus ATCC 11778	-	-	-	-	-	-
B. cereus	-3	-3	-1	+3	+3	+3

Bacterial growth (+), Lack of bacterial growth (-)

Table 2. Comparing the antioxidant properties ofthe ethanolic extract of fennel and BHT

Extract	1000	500	400	300	200	100	50
concentration	ppm						
Extract (%)	31.42	17.33	15.92	12.63	6.99	3.71	1.83
BHT (%)	93.75	93.51	93.24	92.86	92.53	90.41	77.97

Discussion

Results of the present study demonstrated that *Salmonella enterica* and *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates had the highest and lowest level of resistance to the ethanolic extract of fennel, respectively, which is consistent with the results of a study by Shahat et al., which reported that *Staphylococcus aureus* has highest level of susceptibility against fennel essence (19). Manonmani et al. reported that the ethanolic extract of fennel does not have antibacterial effects on *Escherichia coli, Salmonella typhi* and *Staphylococcus aureus* (11).

Gulfraz et al. demonstrated that the antimicrobial effect of ethanolic extract of fennel on gram positive bacteria was more than gram negative bacteria, which is consistent with the present results (25).

Anwar et al. also reported that the antimicrobial effect of the fennel essence is more on gram positive bacteria and *Escherichia coli* revealed to be more resistant to the fennel essence compared with *Bacillus cereus* (9). Overall, gram negative bacteria are more

resistant to the antimicrobial effects of herbal essences compared with gram positive bacteria, which is due to their lipopolysaccharide (LPS) layer (25).

In another study about the effect of the ethanolic extract of fennel on 15 microorganisms including *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhi*, no developmental inhibitor effect was observed (26), which is not consistent with the present study. These differences may be due to differences between the studies isolates in term of geographic or ecologic origin.

The standard gram negative bacteria strains showed more resistance to the ethanolic extract of fennel compared with gram positive strains, which also applied to the tested bacteria isolates. Overall, the higher the level of phenolic materials is, the more antibacterial effects on pathogenic bacteria will be (27).

In this study, the antimicrobial effect of the ethanolic extract of fennel was analyzed using Microdilution method. A review of previous studies show that Microdilution method is the most common method for measuring the antibacterial effects of the extract and essence of various plants (20, 24).

In the present study, comparing the antioxidant properties of the ethanolic extract of fennel with BHT demonstrated that at similar concentrations, the antioxidant effect of BHT is much stronger than the ethanolic extract of fennel and as the concentration increases, the antioxidant activity of the extract increases.

Anwar et al. demonstrated that 80% ethanolic extract of fennel had the strongest antioxidant activity compared with its essence and as the concentration increased, the antioxidant activity of the extract increased. Moreover, the ethanolic extract of fennel revealed less antioxidant activity compared with BHT (9), which is consisted with the present study.

Shahat et al. demonstrated that the extract of vulgare variety of fennel has less antioxidant activity compared with synthetic BHT (19), which is consistent with the results of the present study. Mata et al. reported that the antioxidant activity of the ethanolic extract of fennel is more than BHT (28), which is not consistent with the results of the present study.

Lack of consistency between two independent studies may indicate the differences in chemical compounds that constitute the essence and extract of a particular plant in different regional, climatic, geographic and age conditions. Bagamboula et al. believe that differences in the constituents of the essence of a particular plant may depend on geographical region of the plant, changes in soil, climatic changes, age of the plant, harvest season, the parts used for essence extraction, method of essence extraction and the type of solvent used (29). Considering the antimicrobial and antioxidant effects of the ethanolic extract of fennel in Maragheh region, the efficient ratios of these compounds can be used as antimicrobial and antioxidant material as well as a flavor for foods.

Acknowledgement

Hereby, we express our deepest sense of gratitude and indebtedness to all the colleagues who helped us in this study, particularly the respected experts of the microbiology lab, Mr. Sharghi and Ms. Aghazadeh.

References

1. Sokmen A, Gulluce M, Askin Akpulat H, Daferera D, Polissiou M, Sokmen M, et all. The in vitro antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of the essential oils and methanol extracts of endemic Thymus spathulifolius. Food Control. 2004;15(8):627-634.

2. Bajpai VK, Baek KH, Kang SC. Control of salmonella in foods by using essential oils: A review. Food Res Int. 2012;45(2):722-734.

3. Alzoreky NS, Nakahara K. Antibacterial activity of extracts from some edible plants commonly consumed in Asia. Int J Food Microbiol. 2003;80(3):223-30.

4. Morteza-Semnani K, Saeedi M, Mahdavi MR, Rahimi F. Antimicrobial studies on extracts of three species of phlomis. Pharm Biol. 2006;44(6):426-9.

5. Hussain AI, Anwar F, Hussain Sherazi ST, Przybylski R. Chemical composition, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of basil (Ocimum basilicum) essential oils depends on seasonal variations. Food Chem. 2008;108(3):986-95.

6. Zargari A. Medicinal Plants, 5th ed, Tehran University publication. 1991;40-5.

7. Díaz-Maroto MC, Pérez-Coello MS, Esteban J, Sanz J. Comparison of the volatile composition of wild fennel samples (foeniculum vulgare mill.) from central spain. J Agric Food Chem. 2006; 54(18):6814-18.

8. Agarwal R, Gupta SK, Agrawal SS, Srivastava S, Saxena R. Oculohypotensive effects of Foeniculum vulgare in experimental models of glaucoma. Indian J Physiol Pharmacol. 2008;52(1):77-83.

9. Anwar F, Ali M, Hussain AI, Shahid M. Antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of essential oil and extracts of fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Mill.) seeds from Pakistan. Flavour Frag J. 2009;24(4):170-176.

10. Choi EM, Hwang JK. Antiinflammatory, analgesic and antioxidant activities of the fruit of Foeniculum vulgare. Fitoterapia. 2004;75(6):557-65.

11. Manonmani R, Khadir VMA. Antibacterial screening on foeniculum vulgare Mill. Int J Pharma Bio Sci. 2011;2(4):390-4.

12. Mohsenzadeh M. Evaluation of antibacterial activity of selected Iranian essential oils against Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli in nutrient broth medium. Pak J Biol Sci. 2007;10(20):3693-7.

13. Lo Cantore P, Iacobellis NS, De Marco A, Capasso F, Senatore F. Antibacterial Activity of coriandrum sativum L and foeniculum vulgare miller var vulgare (Miller) essential Oils. J Agric Food Chem. 2004;52(26):7862-6.

14. Soylu S, Soylu CEM, Evrendilek GA. Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of essential oils of bitter fennel (Foeniculum vulgare) and dill (Anethum graveolens) against the growth of food-borne and seed-born pathogenic bacteria. Italian J Food Sci. 2009; 21(3):347-55.

15. Miguel MG, Cruz C, Faleiro L, Simoes MT, Figueiredo AC, Barroso JG, Pedro LG. Foeniculum vulgare essential oils: chemical composition, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities. Natur pro commun. 2010;5(2):319-28.

16. Sagdic O, Yasar S, Kisioglu AN. Antibacterial effects of single or combined plant extracts. Ann Microb. 2005;55(1):67-71.

 Shahidi Bonjar GH. Antibacterial screening of plants used in Iranian folkloric medicine. Fitoterapia. 2004;75(2):231-35.

18. Ruberto G, Baratta MT, Deans SG, Dorman HJD. Antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of foeniculum vulgare and crithmum maritimum essential oils. Planta Medica 2000;66(08):687-93.

19. Shahat AA, Ibrahim AY, Hendawy SF, Omer EA, Hammouda FM, Abdel-Rahman FH, Saleh MA. Chemical composition, antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of essential oils from organically cultivated fennel cultivars. Molecules 2011;16(2):1366-77.

20. Burt S. Essential oils: their antibacterial properties and potential applications in foods-a review. Int J Food Microbiol. 2004;94(3):223-53.

21. Miliauskas G, van Beek TA, Venskutonis PR, Linssen JPH, de Waard P, Sudhölter EJR. Antioxidant activity of Potentilla fruticosa. J Sci Food Agr 2004;84(15):1997-2009.

22. Leong LP, Shui G. An investigation of antioxidant capacity of fruits in Singapore markets. Food Chem. 2002;76(1):69-75.

23. Kalyoncu IH, Akbulut M, Coklar H. Antioxidant capacity, total phenolics and some chemical properties of semimatured apricot cultivars grown in Malatya, Turkey. World Appl Sci J. 2009;6(4):519-23.

24. Entezari M, Hashemi M, Ashki M, Ebrahimian S, Bayat M, Azizi Saraji AR, Rohani SR. Studying the effect Echinophora platyloba extract on bactira (Staphilococus aureus and Pseudomonas aeroginosa) and fungi (Candidia albicans, Aspergilus flavus and Aspergilus niger) in vitro. World J Med Sci. 2009;4(2):89-92.

25. Gulfraz M, Mehmood S, Minhas N, Jabeen N, Kausar R, Jabeen K, Arshad G. Composition and antimicrobial properties of essential oil of Foeniculum vulgare. Afri J Biotech. 2008;7(24):4364-8.

26. Sağdıç O, Özcan M. Antibacterial activity of Turkish spice hydrosols. Food Cont 2003;14(3):141-3.

27. Ghasemi Pirbalouti A, Malek poor F, Enteshari SH, Yousefi M, Momtaz H, Hamedi B. Antibacterial activity of some folklore medicinal plants used by Bakhtiari Tribal in south west Iran. Int J Biol. 2010;2(2):55-63.

28. Mata AT, Proença C, Ferreira AR, Serralheiro MLM, Nogueira JMF, A. MEM. Antioxidant and antiacetylcholinesterase activities of five plants used as Portuguese food spices. Food Chem. 2007;103(3):778-86.

29. Bagamboula CF, Uyttendaele M, Debevere J. Inhibitory effect of thyme and basil essential oils, carvacrol, thymol, estragol, linalool and p-cymene towards Shigella sonnei and Shigella flexneri. Food Microbiol. 2004;21(1):33-42.