Comparison of Facial Soft Tissue Thickness in Males and Females and Class I Skeletal Pattern
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ABSTRACT
BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVE: Proportional relationship between different facial structures, including soft tissue thickness and dental and skeletal components, is the key to beauty. Today facial soft tissue harmony is the primary goal of orthodontic treatment, unlike the past which focused only on hard tissue and dental occlusion. The aim of this study was to measure facial soft tissue thickness in the northern population of Iran with class I skeletal pattern in lateral cephalometry and compare these values between males and females to use the results in orthodontic treatment and craniofacial reconstructions.

METHODS: In this cross-sectional research, 180 lateral cephalometry of 77 male and 103 female, aged between 18-24 years who had referred to private orthodontic offices, were traced on acetate paper. Then, 10 anatomical variables were measured in Glabella, Nasion, Rhinion, Subnasal, Upper lip, Stomion, Lower lip, Labiomental region, Pogonion and Menton parallel to the Frankfurt plan.

FINDINGS: Facial soft tissue thickness in males was significantly higher than females in Nasion (male=5.65±1.55, female=4.38±1.47), Rhinion (male=3.07±0.64, female=2.5±0.57), Subnasal (male=16.39±2.55, female=14.05±1.44), Upper lip (male=15.51±2.29, female=13.57±1.64), Lower lip (male=16.48±1.85, female=14.64±1.39), Labiomental (male=11.02±1.46, female=10.49±1.67) and Pogonion (male=11.4±1.64, female=10.32±1.77) (p<0.05).

CONCLUSION: Based on the results of this study, there was a significant difference in facial soft tissue thickness between the two genders in the north Iranian population so that males had more facial soft tissue thickness than females in most of the areas.
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Introduction

The human face is the most important recognizable organ (1) and plays an important role in establishing social relationships (2, 3). Beauty may be interpreted as the proportional relationship between the various facial structures, including soft tissue thickness, dental and skeletal components (4-7). The process of orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning has been frequently changed over time from emphasizing dental occlusion and hard tissue in the past to more emphasis on the soft tissue proportions. This development is called soft tissue paradigm, which considers the proportions and harmony of orofacial soft tissues as the primary goal in orthodontics, because facial beauty, mouth function, and tooth movement stability are primarily determined by the soft tissue of the face (8).

Extensive studies have been performed on soft tissue thickness in different populations, and many researchers have concluded that soft tissue thickness varies in different races (9-13). In addition, measurements are influenced by age and gender (14, 15). Orthognathic and cosmetic surgeries also affect soft tissue. The rate of soft tissue changes in orthognathic surgery is 30 to 100% (16). There are several methods to measure soft tissue thickness. In the past, researchers used the Needle puncture method to measure soft tissue thickness (17). Other methods of measurement have evolved over time with the development of imaging technologies including ultrasonography, cephalometry, MRI, and CT (18-21).

Lateral cephalometry radiography is prescribed for almost all orthodontic patients, and has eliminated unnecessary exposure or additional payment. Moreover, the relationship between bone and soft tissue of the entire face is recorded in one image on this radiography. The disadvantages of other methods include the invasiveness of needle puncture technique, the imposition of an additional dose on the patient in CT radiography, and the need for extra payment in CT and MRI images.

Most of measurements and standards are derived from the European/American population, which cannot be used as norms in other populations because the present results indicate a significant difference between various populations and each must be treated according to their standards (9). Despite the great importance of soft tissue in the success of orthodontics found in the literatures, there are a few studies on facial soft tissue thickness in the Iranian population. Therefore, in this study, normal facial soft tissue thickness was obtained in a normal north Iranian population to use the results to help determining orthodontic treatment plan and craniofacial reconstructions. The aim of this study was to measure facial soft tissue thickness in the northern population of Iran with class I skeletal pattern in lateral cephalometry and compare these values between males and females.

Methods

The ethical license of this study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Babol University of Medical Sciences with the ethics code IR.MUBABOL.HRI.REC.1398.067. This cross-sectional research was conducted on lateral cephalometry radiography of 180 patients with an age range of 18 to 24 years old (including 103 females and 77 males) who had referred to private orthodontic offices.

All lateral cephalometry images were taken by Cranex D machine (Sordex, Finland, Helsinki). Inclusion criteria were having Class I skeletal pattern (ANB=1-4/Wits=0 and -1) without dental protrusion. Exclusion criteria included apparent facial anomalies in vertical, horizontal, and transverse dimensions, history of trauma, history of orthodontics treatment, and prosthesis or orthognathic surgery.

The studied variables, 10 anatomical distances are as follows:

- **Glabella (Gls-GI):** Linear distance from the most prominent on the frontal bone to the soft tissue prominence on the forehead
- **Nasion (Ns-N):** Distance from bony Nasion to the soft tissue Nasion
- **Rhinion (Rh):** perpendicular distance from the intersection of nasal bone and cartilage to soft tissue
- **Subnasale (Sn-A):** distance between Subnasale and point A
- **Upper lip (Ls-Pr):** distance between the most prominent point of the upper lip and Prosthion
- **Stomion (St-U1):** distance between the most prominent point of the upper incisor and Stomion
- **Lower lip (Li-Id):** distance between the most prominent point of the lower lip and infradentale
- **Labiomental (B-Lm):** distance between point B and labiomental sulcus
- **Pogonion (Pogs-Pog):** distance between bony Pogonion and soft tissue Pogonion
- **Menton (Mes-me):** distance between bony Menton and soft tissue Menton (Figure 1).
After drawing the Frankfurt plan, that comes from the connection of Orbitale (OR) which is the lowest point on the orbital margins and Porion (PO) is determined as the highest point of the external acoustic meatus, measurements were done by one person with a scale ruler (mm) parallel to the Frankfurt plane. Within a period of 2 weeks, 20 radiographs were selected randomly and traced again by the same person. A paired t test was applied to both the first set and second set of measurements, and no significant difference was found between the two sets. Intra-class correlation coefficients were performed to assess the reliability of the measurements, and the coefficients of reliability for the measurement were above 0.942 (Table 1). Multivariate linear analysis of data was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (SPSS; version 22). Means and standard deviations were calculated for each measurement and p<0.05 was considered as the significance level.

![Figure 1. Anatomical distances to determine soft tissue thickness in profile view from H Utsuno et al. (19)](image)

### Table 1. Intraclass Correlation Coefficient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Intraclass Correlation Coefficient</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLs-GL</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.961-0.994</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS-N</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.990-0.998</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td>0.859-0.977</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNA</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.992-0.999</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS-PR</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.979-0.997</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST-U1</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.983-0.997</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI-ID</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.996-0.999</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-LM</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.993-0.999</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POG-POGs</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.980-0.997</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME-MEs</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.990-0.998</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Results

In this study, 77 males (42.8%) and 103 females (57.2%) with a mean age of 19.4±2.23 years who had a class I skeletal pattern participated in this study. According to linear multivariate analysis, facial soft tissue thickness in males was significantly higher than females in Nasion (male=5.84±1.55, female=5.65±1.47), Rhinion (male=3.07±0.64, female=2.5±0.57), Subnasal (male=16.39±2.55, female=14.05±1.44), Upper lip (male=15.51±2.29, female=13.95±1.64), Lower lip (male=16.48±1.85, female=14.64±1.39), Labiomental (male=11.02±1.46, female=10.49±1.67) and Pogonion (male=11.41±1.64, female=10.32±1.77) (p<0.05) (Table 2), and no significant difference was observed in the Glabella, Stomion, and Menton (p>0.05).

### Table 2. Comparison of soft tissue thickness variables by gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Female Mean±SD</th>
<th>Male Mean±SD</th>
<th>Total Mean±SD</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GLs-GL</td>
<td>5.48±0.99</td>
<td>5.36±0.95</td>
<td>5.43±0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NS-N</td>
<td>4.38±1.47</td>
<td>5.65±1.55</td>
<td>4.93±1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>2.5±0.57</td>
<td>3.07±0.64</td>
<td>2.75±0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SN-A</td>
<td>14.05±1.44</td>
<td>16.39±2.55</td>
<td>15.05±2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LS-PR</td>
<td>13.57±1.64</td>
<td>15.51±2.29</td>
<td>14.4±2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST-U1</td>
<td>5.06±1.19</td>
<td>5.33±1.22</td>
<td>5.18±1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LI-ID</td>
<td>14.64±1.39</td>
<td>16.48±1.85</td>
<td>15.42±1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B-LM</td>
<td>10.49±1.67</td>
<td>11.02±1.46</td>
<td>10.72±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POGs-POG</td>
<td>10.32±1.77</td>
<td>11.4±1.64</td>
<td>10.78±1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ME-MEs</td>
<td>7.8±1.37</td>
<td>8.06±1.32</td>
<td>7.91±1.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

According to linear multivariate analysis in this cross-sectional study, facial soft tissue thickness in males and females were significantly different except for in Glabella, Stomion, and Menton. Since it has been found that genetic effects influence skeleton and overlying soft tissue, various researchers have investigated the thickness of facial soft tissue in different populations (15, 22-26).

Comparison of results with other populations showed that Brazilian and Sudanese males have thicker soft tissue in all measured areas compared to the Iranians (3, 13). In a comparison with Pakistani population, the males of this population have a greater soft tissue thickness than the Iranian population in all areas except for Menton (10). Moreover, the males of the Turkish population have thicker soft tissue in Glabella, Subnasal, Upper lip, Stomion, Lower lip, Labiomental, and Menton compared to the males in the Iranian population (4).

The comparison of the results of this research with other populations showed that Brazilian females have thicker soft tissue in all measured areas compared to the females of the Iranian population (13). Sudanese females also have thicker facial soft tissue than the females of Iranian population in all areas except for Stomion (3). In a comparison of Iranian population with Japanese population, the females of this population have a greater soft tissue thickness than the females of Iranian population in the Glabella, Nasion, Lower lip, Labiomental, and Pogonion (12).

The females of the Turkish population have thicker facial soft tissue in Subnasal, Labiomental, and Menton compared to the females in the Iranian population (4). Pakistani females also have thicker facial soft tissue than Iranian females in all areas except for Glabella and Menton (10). The presented research also indicates that the facial soft tissue thickness of the males was significantly higher than females in Nasion, Rhinion, Subnasal, Upper lip, Lower lip, Labiomental, and Pogonion. The comparison of populations showed that the facial soft tissue thickness of the males of the Turkish population is more than females in Glabella, Nasion, Subnasal, Upper lip, Stomion, Lower lip, Labiomental, and Pogonion (6). Moreover, males have thicker soft tissue than females in Rhinion, Subnasal, and Upper lip in the Brazilian population (13). The reason for the differences between the findings of the studies mentioned above and our study is probably the difference in race and age of the subjects.

Contrary to the present research, the results of El-Mehallawi who measured the facial soft tissue thickness in 204 Egyptian adults using Ultrasonic Prob showed that soft tissue thickness in females was higher than males in most measured areas (25). The difference between the findings of this study and our study may be due to the different measurement techniques.

These results indicate that regardless of the studied race, men have on average thicker soft tissue than women in some points of face like Nasion, Rhinion, Subnasal, Upper lip, Lower lip, Labiomental, and Pogonion, and this issue should be considered in the orthodontic treatment plan. Furthermore, the Iranian population has a unique facial soft tissue thickness. Therefore, it is recommended to measure the facial soft tissue thickness at different age ranges in future studies to achieve more comprehensive results for the Iranian population. A significant difference was observed between the two genders, and males had higher facial soft tissue thickness than females except for Glabella, Stomion, and Menton.
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